

BACKGROUND

In September 2024, the council resolved to appoint Master Land and Planning to conduct a review of the made Neighbourhood Plan, following changes to the National Planning Policy Framework NPPF and the emerging Wiltshire Draft Local Plan.

SUMMARY

Representatives of Master LP met with members of the original steering group, and reviewed the made plan. Their initial report was received shortly before Christmas and circulated to councillors on 2nd January. The report has been reviewed by members of the original steering group and Cllr McDonald. Their comments are included (highlighted yellow) on the report below.

Unless significant benefits of major changes could be demonstrated, the group felt the council should avoid any changes that would require public consultation or referendum due to the amount of work involved.

A meeting with Master LP is scheduled for 30th January, to feedback on their report and agree next steps.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the council considers the report and comments attached, and raise any questions ahead of the planned meeting with Master LP.

That the council considers whether they would support further public consultation and/or a referendum in order to effect a review of the Neighbourhood Plan.

REPORT 25.009 Neighbourhood Plan Review
LAVERSTOCK AND FORD PARISH COUNCIL
Author: Trudi Deane, Administration Officer
Date: 14th January 2025



MASTER

LAND & PLANNING

Laverstock and Ford Communities Neighbourhood Development Plan Review

NDP Review and Monitoring Report

December 2024

Contents

1. Introduction..... 2

2. Assessment of Existing Policies..... 3

 Review of recent planning decisions 3

 Conformity to national and local policy and community views 4

 Assessment Results..... 5

3. Appendix 1: Planning Application Monitoring Data.....14

Project Ref: 24004 NDP Review
Date of Issue: 12.2024

Master Land & Planning Ltd
Unit 7 Oakridge Office Park
Whaddon
Salisbury
Wiltshire
SP5 3HT
✉ welcome@masterlp.co.uk
🌐 masterlp.co.uk

1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Laverstock and Ford Communities Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) was made by Wiltshire Council on the 14th of October 2022. This followed a successful referendum where the majority of voters (86.6%) agreed that Wiltshire Council should use the Neighbourhood Plan to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area. The work to prepare the made NDP began in 2017, since then there have been significant changes to the national and local policy context, and therefore some of the evidence base is becoming increasingly dated. Laverstock and Ford Parish Council decided to undertake a review of the NDP in Autumn 2024 to ensure that it remains effective and continues to meet the objectives and ambitions of the Parish.
- 1.2. The review will also ensure that the NDP will remain in conformity with the emerging Wiltshire Local Plan, which is currently being prepared by Wiltshire Council and has been submitted to the Secretary of State for examination.
- 1.3. This report seeks to review the made NDP to determine if there are gaps that might need addressing. It draws on the following five key factors to assess how the plan is working or where it could be made better.
 - Effectiveness of its policies in delivering the community's aspirations
 - Changes in national legislation and policy at the foundation of local policy
 - Changes in Local Plan policy, which the Neighbourhood Plan must be in broad conformity with
 - Changes in local circumstances that need new approaches
 - Local opinion that may reinforce or alter community aspirations.
- 1.4. The report presents an assessment of existing NDP policies. It seeks to analyse how those policies have been applied to-date in decision-making by the Local Planning Authority (Wiltshire Council) and in the determination of appeals. The assessment also considers the extent to which the existing policies remain in conformity with the revised National Planning Policy Framework of December 2024 (NPPF) and the strategic policies in the adopted Local Plan (Wiltshire Core Strategy, 2015). It also draws on new evidence prepared by Wiltshire Council as part of the emerging Wiltshire Local Plan to ensure the future effectiveness of the revised Neighbourhood Plan where possible.
- 1.5. The assessment will form recommendations for Policy updates as a result of the monitoring and updated evidence base.

2. Assessment of Existing Policies

- 2.1. In a robust Neighbourhood Plan, policies always either increase the effectiveness and/or specificity of local plan policies (i.e., by adding local criteria and/or taking local context into account) or propose a policy where there was previously a policy void. It is the task of the NDP Review to determine if any policies in the NDP can be strengthened or added to, to support this task.
- 2.2. This section of the report therefore seeks to assess the policies against their application in decision making, conformity with national and local policy, and continued reflection of the views and ambitions of the community.

Review of recent planning decisions

- 2.3. At the time of writing, a total of 111 applications have been made within the Civil Parish of Laverstock and Ford since the 20th of May 2019. These include Planning Applications, Householder Applications, Prior Notifications, Listed Building Consents, discharge of conditions and Tree Works Applications. 59 decisions have been made on Householder, Full or Outline Planning Applications.
- 2.4. A high-level review of the Officer Reports, where available, for these Householder, Full and Outline planning applications¹ in Laverstock and Ford Parish since the NDP was made has been undertaken, in order to identify which, if any, make reference to the Laverstock and Ford Communities NDP and its specific policies.
- 2.5. Out of the applications where information was available, the Laverstock and Ford Communities NDP has been referred to as forming part of the development plan in only 14% of the decisions. 7% of decisions refer to specific policies in the NDP, namely Policy 1, Policy 2, Policy 3b and Policy 4.
- 2.6. Of particular importance to note is the recent decision at Church Road which was refused at the Strategic Planning Committee on 14th August 2024. The made NDP is silent on housing development however the Planning Officer referred to the NDP in their committee report. It was noted by the Planning Officer that the development complied with the Policies of the NDP in particular Policy 1, Policy 2, and Policy 4. Councillors however took an alternative view, that the application was contrary to the Laverstock and Ford NDP.
- 2.7. Laverstock and Ford Parish Council made comments referring to the NDP on only 7% of the applications.
- 2.8. Appendix A provides a summary of this review.

¹ Listed Building Consent, Prior Notification, Tree Works and variation/ discharge of conditions have been scoped out of the review.

Conformity to national and local policy and community views

- 2.9. Neighbourhood Plans are required to be prepared in accordance with the 2011 Localism Act and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended). Neighbourhood Plans are required to comply with the basic conditions, as set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as applied to neighbourhood plans by section 38A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Included in these basic conditions is that the plan must have regard to national policies and its general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area.
- 2.10. As confirmed in the Examiners Report of July 2022 for the made Laverstock and Ford Communities NDP, the plan was considered to meet the basic conditions, following suggested modifications, and therefore be in conformity with national and local policy at the time. However, following examination there has been changes to the policy context, and therefore it is important to reconsider whether the policies require amendments to ensure their continued general conformity.

National Planning Policy Framework

- 2.11. Since the NDP was made, revisions have been made to the NPPF with the most recently published being in December 2024, which has resulted to changes in wording and paragraph numbers.
- 2.12. Paragraph 239 sets out that the policies in the 2024 NPPF will apply for the purpose of preparing neighbourhood plans from 12 March 2025 unless a neighbourhood plan proposal has been submitted to the local planning authority under Regulation 15 on or before the 12 March 2025. As your review will be submitted following that date, the revised NPPF will apply.
- 2.13. Of most relevance to the Laverstock and Ford Communities NDP Review are the following key changes to the NPPF since the plan was made:
- Changes to paragraph 14 have boosted the status of Neighbourhood Plans by strengthening their protection against paragraph 11d arguments (“the tilted balance”) by removing any test against housing supply or delivery and extending the development plan protection period from 2 to 5 years where meeting criteria, in the test of whether adverse impacts are likely to outweigh the benefits of development significantly and demonstrably. **This is potentially very useful in protecting the NP for a significant period**
 - Changes to the way the Standard Method for housing need is calculated which has resulted in an increase to the Local Housing Need requirements to be delivered by the Council. This may impact on the housing requirement figures set, or provided, to neighbourhood areas.
 - Greater support is given to the development of suitable brownfield land with paragraph 125 confirming proposals should be approved unless substantial harm would be caused.

- Reference to approving extended duration of existing renewable development sites and significant weight is to be given to energy efficiency improvements to existing buildings.

2.14. There are other changes in national policy since 2019 which may necessitate new issues being addressed in your NDP. Recommendations are made below on how new policies could be reflected locally for consideration by the Steering Group / Parish Council.

Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan Review

2.15. The Laverstock and Ford Communities NDP Review is being prepared in the context of the emerging Wiltshire Local Plan (LP) which will cover the period 2020 to 2038 and will supersede the current Wiltshire Core Strategy and Saved Policies from the District Local Plans.

2.16. The LP will set out the overarching strategy for the Wiltshire area, setting out where development will take place and how the area will change and grow across the plan period. The LP will provide a clear overall strategic direction for development whilst finer detail in the Laverstock and Ford neighbourhood area can continue to be determined through the neighbourhood planning process where appropriate. Wiltshire Council have submitted their Local Plan to the Secretary of State on 28th November 2024 for examination following Cabinet and Full Council. Local Development Scheme (published March 2024)² anticipates adoption towards the end of Q3 2025.

2.17. Whilst Neighbourhood Plans are not tested against the policies in an emerging local plan, Locality advise³ that the reasoning and evidence informing the local plan process is a relevant consideration of the basic conditions against which a Neighbourhood Plan is tested.

2.18. The assessment of the NDP Policies will take into account this evidence and any other information published by Wiltshire Council during the course of the LP.

2.19. The LP review proposes three allocations within the neighbourhood area under Policies 23, 25 and 30.

2.20. It is recommended that the Steering Group continues to engage with Wiltshire Council, throughout the review process to ensure that any updated wording aligns with the emerging Local Plan. Or should this be on advice from Master LP as our consultants?

Assessment Results

2.21. Based on the above, the following table sets out the extent to which the NDP Policies have regard to the NPPF and Development Plan. It also identifies recommendations for revisions to the wording or content, in order to strengthen the policy.

² As set out in the Local Development Scheme March 2024 available at <https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/1086/Local-Development-Scheme>

³ Neighbourhood Planning PPG Paragraph: 009 Reference ID: 41-009-20190509

Table 1: Review of Planning Policies

Neighbourhood Plan Policies		
What does the policy seek to achieve?	Comments, effectiveness, conformity, gaps?	Evidence base
Policy 1 - Protection of the distinctive settlement pattern of the parish		
Policy 1 seeks to ensure the identities of the settlements are retained as 'discrete settlements'. It introduces four green buffers to prevent coalescence between the settlements.	The policy remains important, and it is expected that the separation of the settlement remains a key priority for the residents. It is noted that Policy 22 of the emerging Wiltshire Local Plan (submission version) states that 'development at Salisbury will...maintain separation and distinctiveness between Salisbury and Wilton, and between Salisbury and adjacent settlements, notably Ford, Laverstock, Britford, Netherhampton and Quidhampton;' The emerging LP therefore provides increased policy support for this matter above that of the existing Core Strategy. Policy 1 will expand on and add local detail to the aspirations of the Local Plan. Given the above, the Steering Group may wish to consider whether there is scope to strengthen the buffers by re-defining more distinct boundaries in response to the emerging policy. It is recognised the previous examiner rejected a strict definition of the green buffer, however this change in Policy context would appear to give reasons to explore this matter again. The landscape sensitivity assessment report should be reviewed to confirm the evidence remains up to date and robust. If changes are required, third party support will be required from the consultant. The group believe that the previous Landscape Sensitivity Assessment is still valid and there would be no benefit in commissioning a new one, unless Master LP can give specific details. The green buffer outlines were set by the previous examiner - what benefit would come from revisiting this?	Existing evidence sources • Wiltshire Core Strategy • National design Guide 2021 • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 New evidence to be considered • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024 • Updated community consultation What would be required and who would carry it out?

	The policy could also be strengthened by setting additional criteria (such as?) which must be complied with as part of any development in these areas (development in accordance with Wiltshire CS/ELP)	
--	---	--

Policy 2 - Protection and enhancement of the distinctive landscape character, wildlife habitats and heritage assets

Policy 2 has several strands - Bullet a and b are focused on the landscape character of the parish and ensuring that development has regard to the landscape sensitivity assessment and maintains the visual character of the parish. Bullet c seeks development to provide an overall improvement to wildlife habitats. Bullet d deals with heritage and requires the submission of a heritage statement when heritage (including archaeological) assets are impacted.	It is recommended this policy be separated into multiple policies: Would this result in a substantive change? What would be the benefit to justify this? 1. Landscape character - Bullets a) and b) can be split into their own policy. This could also expand to include the special characteristics of the River Bourne Valley in line with NDP Objective 2. 2. Key Views – the Laverstock and Ford Parish Design Guide identifies a number of viewpoints in Section 17. It is recommended these are elevated into a policy to provide greater weight to the key views. The viewpoints can be assessed against a clear methodology to determine if they are appropriate to designate in the NDP as key views to be afforded weight in the determination of planning applications. The list should also be reviewed and map updated to include direction of the view. What is the methodology - what would be involved for what benefit? 3. Biodiversity - The NDP Review could include a policy dealing with Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) and to reflect the statutory requirement for at least 10% net gain, or the Wiltshire Draft Local Plan approach at Policy 88 and 89 for 20% net gain. The policy could also build in a requirement for net gains (whether on-site, off-site or by credits) to be delivered locally within the Parish. Does this need including if already in the Local Plan, and can we insist this is delivered in the Parish? In addition to this, the Steering Group may wish to consider whether there is merit in identifying a Green and Blue Infrastructure network across the parish, which would have the purpose of ‘promoting ecological connectivity, outdoor recreation, and sustainable movement through the parish and into neighbouring parishes and helping mitigate climate change’. This is in line with the NPPF at para 185 which states: “To protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity, plans	<u>Existing evidence sources</u> • Wiltshire Core Strategy • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 • Landscape sensitivity assessment <u>New evidence to be considered</u> • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024 • Historic England Guidance on local heritage assets • Updated community consultation • Biodiversity Net Gain legislation and guidance. • Wiltshire Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy • Emerging Swindon and Wiltshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy.
---	---	---

	should: a) Identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks” and can draw from data already prepared for the Swindon and Wiltshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy and the Wiltshire Green and Blue Infrastructure Assessment. Blue and Green Infrastructure already part of the Draft Local Plan - what would be the benefit of including it here? 4. Locally valued heritage – as drafted, the existing policy does not add much to higher level local and national policy. Where the NDP could add value is through the identification of local heritage assets. While there are a number of listed buildings within the parish, there may be additional buildings or sites which have local historical value, and the neighbourhood plan can identify these as ‘non-designated heritage assets’. The designation does not apply any formal statutory listing; however, this does mean that the significance of the asset should be valued and taken into account as a material consideration within planning applications that may affect the site or surrounding environment. A methodology can be provided to assist in the assessment of candidate sites. Would any notice be taken of these if there is no legal status? E.g. its not a listed building?	
--	---	--

Policy 3A - Designated Local Green Spaces		

Policy designates five areas as Local Green Space - Castle Hill Country Park - Old Sarum Community Green Spaces (three areas) - Hampton Park Green - Longhedge Village Community Green Space - Whitebridge Spinney	The test for Local Green Space in the NPPF (under paragraph 106) has not changed since the NDP was made. The existing spaces designated as LGS are shown to clearly meet the national planning policy criteria required. The revised NPPF does not propose to change the 3 tests for LGS, however changes are proposed to national policy around Green Belt, including permitting development on ‘grey belt’ land in sustainable locations. As development on LGS is managed in accordance with policies on Green Belt, these changes will be relevant. As part of the Review, the Steering Group should review the list of designations and assess if there are any further spaces that should be designated as Local Green Space (i.e. the Mini Green on Hartley Way/ St Peters Play Park and green/Laverstock Recreation Ground)	<u>Existing evidence sources</u> • Wiltshire Core Strategy • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 <u>New evidence to be considered</u> • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024
---	--	--

Policy 3B - Ford Amenity Green Space		
Policy designates the Ford Amenity Green Space as an area of important local amenity.	This area was removed by the previous examiner as a Local Green Space following objections from the MOD as landowner and their consideration that the site did not meet the NPPF criteria in full. As there has been no change to the LGS tests and no change to the context of the site, there is little justification to elevate this land to LGS. The Steering Group may wish to consider whether there are any additional areas within the parish that have important amenity value but do not meet the definition of LGS (Pilgrims Way play park and green/ Pilgrims Way MUGA/ Boundary Road Green) thereby expanding Policy 3B beyond one specific parcel of land. Is there any benefit to this if it incurs a substantive change? What are the benefits. The group feel there is little to add to 3a or 3b.	<u>Existing evidence sources</u> • Wiltshire Core Strategy • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 <u>New evidence to be considered</u> • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024
Policy 4 - Design of future development		

Policy 4 has multiple strands - Bullets a and b require development to follow the general principles of the national design guide and the address the detail in the Laverstock and Ford Parish Design Guide 2021. It also requires efficient engagement. Bullet c part 1 seeks proposals to include measures to facilitate ‘clean’ energy. Bullet c part 2 seeks development provides safe access to the active travel networks.	It is recommended that this policy also be separated as follows: 1. Design - We understand the Steering Group wish to review and strengthen the design guide set out in Appendix 3 of the NDP. This could be done through the preparation of a Design Code. Design codes are clear design requirements for new developments, based on principles and priorities agreed upon with the local community. They are supported by evidence and adopted as part of a neighbourhood plan, with the full support of the local planning authority. Policy or supporting text could also refer to the Wiltshire Design Guide 2024, or any subsequent document. 2. Sustainable construction/ Net Zero – it is recommended a new policy is inserted to strengthen the first part of bullet c of the existing policy. The new policy would ensure new development is built to meet net zero carbon standards through above specification insulation, energy efficient appliances and heating, solar panels, electric charging points, rainwater harvesting etc. or encourage small scale/householder renewable energy sources. Reference can be made to the	<u>Existing evidence sources</u> • Wiltshire Core Strategy • National Design Guide 2021 • National Mode Design Code • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 <u>New evidence to be considered</u> • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024 • Wiltshire Design Guide 2024
--	---	--

	Wiltshire Council Climate Strategy (2022) and the emerging LP policies on this matter. Policy wording should also be reviewed – i.e. what is meant by ‘significant housing or commercial...’ What can we achieve through a Design Code? Can we exceed legislative requirements and will this be enforceable? Can we allocate a specific design code to the strategic sites included in the Local Plan? Are there examples available of Design Codes included in made NPs and have they been effective? Would this be a substantive change requiring consultation and referendum? 3. Active travel - As part of the NDP review, a policy could be introduced to ensure development does not harm the network of Public Rights of Way, footpath and cycleways in the Parish and supports creation of new areas of footpath. The Steering Group may wish to map the footpaths and footways and identify where improvements may be needed. What would be the benefit above the existing protection offered to Rights of Way?	
Policy 5 - Improvements to infrastructure and amenities appropriate to the scale of specific future housing development		
Policy seeks to ensure development delivers the necessary infrastructure, services, and amenities.	It is recommended that the list of infrastructure improvements and priorities for the spending of CIL monies, as currently set out in Community Action 2 is put into the policy text instead of supporting text. The priorities should be reviewed with the Parish Council - are there additional things which CIL receipts would contribute towards? This list was separated out as a Community Action at the insistence of the previous inspector. Will it be accepted as a policy now?	<u>Existing evidence sources</u> • Wiltshire Core Strategy • Community Consultation • NPPF 2021 <u>New evidence to be considered</u> • Emerging Wiltshire Local Plan • NPPF - December 2024 • Parish Council priorities

2.22. In addition to the existing policies above, the Steering Group may wish to consider whether the NDP should be updated to include any

additional policy area that have not yet been considered. No policy should be included within a NDP for the sake of it, instead policies should be distinct to reflect and respond to the unique characteristics and planning context of the specific neighbourhood area for which it has been prepared. **Are there any examples of what might be 'missing'?**

- 2.23. Table 2 below sets out where we consider there may be existing policy gaps based on the NDP objectives. Some of these recommendations may overlap with the comments above, as they can be incorporated into existing policy, while others will present opportunities for new topics to be introduced. Suggested topics for new policy areas are identified in bold in the table.
- 2.24. The overall vision should also be reviewed to ensure it remains reflective of the community **priorities (how do we assess this without extensive consultation?)** and aims of the NDP. Matters of community concern or priority that are not land-use matters can also be reviewed and incorporated into the plan through a 'community action list' which will set out the focus for the Parish Council and others.

Table 2: Review of NDP Objectives and Policy Gaps

Objective		Recommendations on Policy Gaps
A	- <i>protect, and where possible enhance, the existing open spaces, landscape, and heritage assets much valued by residents and visitors</i>	As per the recommendations above, new policies could be inserted/ updated on landscape, key views, and heritage.
B	- <i>conserve and enhance the River Bourne and its environs</i>	Policy support for this objective exists through Policy 1 and the designation of a green buffer however, there is opportunity to strengthen this through the recommended landscape policy. How?
C	- <i>protect and improve biodiversity in the Parish and respond to the climate crisis</i>	As per the recommendations above, new policies could be inserted on both biodiversity and adaptation to climate change/ sustainable construction to ensure this objective is met through policy. Evidence can be provided on mapping habitats and networks in the Parish, using the Wiltshire Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy.
D	- <i>encourage the farming community to continue to be a significant part of the Parish economy and an important contributor to and guardian of the landscape and environment</i>	The NDP Policies do not currently support this objective, aside from retaining the green buffers, which are primarily in agricultural land use. The Steering Group may wish to consider introducing a new policy topic to such as supporting farm diversification, subject to certain criteria. This would be complex and a significant amount of work for what gain?

E	- <i>ensure that the community has a say in the type, location, scale, and design of future housing development in the parish, whether to meet local needs or a strategic allocation under the Local Plan</i>	Aside from the design guidance, which can be elevated to a design code, the NDP is currently silent on housing matters. It is recognised there is no requirement for the allocation of new housing development however in order to better support this objective, the Steering Group may wish to consider the introduction of a 'Development Strategy' policy. Such a policy would set out where development may be acceptable (in accordance with Wiltshire CS/LP and NPPF, such as infill/ conversions/ exception sites) and add additional criteria to be complied with. Would this simply give the green light for more development in addition to any strategic allocations we are given? The steering group were unable to identify anywhere last time round. Neighbourhood planning bodies are encouraged to plan to meet their housing requirement, and where possible to exceed it. There is currently no housing requirement for Laverstock set out by Wiltshire Council in Policy or by letter. NPPF paragraph 69 states that strategic policies should set out a housing requirement for designated neighbourhood areas. However, where it is not possible to provide a
---	--	--

		requirement, an indicative figure should be provided if requested by the neighbourhood planning body, and this figure will be tested at the examination (paragraph 70). Alternatively, the neighbourhood planning body may, exceptionally, determine a housing requirement figure themselves, taking account of relevant policies, the existing and emerging spatial strategy, and characteristics of the neighbourhood area. Allocating land for development within the NDP, to meet an identified housing requirement in full, may have advantages in allowing the community to benefit from the protections under Paragraph 14 of the NPPF. PPG confirms that policies and allocations within other development plan documents, for example strategic site allocations set out in a local plan, will not meet the criterion of paragraph 14. There is an opportunity to add a policy that specifies a housing mix that would be expected as part of any new development coming forward in accordance with the development strategy. This would be based on locally produced evidence, for example evidence to demonstrate a greater number of smaller homes is required may result in a policy requirement for a % of housing to be 1, 2, or 3-bed houses in new development. How could this be determined? New developments are largely occupied by out of parish incomers.
F	- <i>encourage business and industry to make best use of the land in the Parish set aside for this purpose to the benefit of the Parish</i>	The NDP Policies do not currently support this objective. The Steering Group may wish to consider a new policy topic covering the protection of business premises or the enhancement of existing services. Other encouragement for employment could be given by supporting development of 'work from home' facilities. Is it enforceable to require employment units to be built when included as part of mixed development? How could infrastructure to support increased working from home be included?
G	- <i>seek to ensure that any future development in the Parish is supported by the implementation of a complementary and structured approach to infrastructure</i>	Objective is supported by Policy 5.

H	- <i>support the provision of community services and facilities which benefit the Parish, including health and wellbeing aspects</i>	The NDP Policies do not currently support this objective. The Steering Group may wish to consider a new policy topic covering community facilities, which may protect existing facilities and support their enhancement, subject to criteria.
I	- <i>ensure that neighbourhood plan policies, whilst protecting the setting of our schools in the wider landscape, do not unduly</i>	The NDP Policies do not currently support this objective. A separate policy on educational facilities and their expansion could be inserted, This is largely outside the scope of the Parish Council.

	<i>restrict them from continuing to provide excellent education for the young people of our Parish and the surrounding area</i>	or this could come into the above recommended community facilities policy.
J	- <i>support improvements to public transport and foot/cycle path links within and between the Parish and key destinations</i>	As per the recommendations above, new policies could be inserted on active travel to better support this objective.
K	- <i>encourage the implementation of schemes which reduce the impact of traffic within the Parish without compromising the other objectives.</i>	Objective is supported by Policy 5

3. Appendix 1: Planning Application Monitoring Data

REPORT 25.009 Neighbourhood Plan Review
LAVERSTOCK AND FORD PARISH COUNCIL
Author: Trudi Deane, Administration Officer
Date: 14th January 2025

Reference Number	Proposal	Decision	Decision Date	NDP Reference (general)	NDP Reference (policy specific)	Parish Council comments
PL/2024/07869	Retention of Storage Containers for a Period of 12 Months.	Withdrawn	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PL/2024/01656	Installation of domestic air source heat pump at the rear of the house	Withdrawn	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PL/2024/00340	Single storey rear extension	Withdrawn	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PL/2023/09082	Installation of Octopus heat pump	Withdrawn	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PL/2024/09363	Construct new single storey porch	Approved	14/11/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/07470	Retention of Storage Containers for a Period of 12 Months.	Approved	15/10/2024	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2024/06023	Proposed Garage Conversion & Extension	Approved	16/08/2024	No	No	No objection subject to conditions.
PL/2023/07368	Outline application with all matters reserved, except means of access to site, for the demolition, modification & renovation of existing buildings, structures & site development. Provision of approx. 315 residential dwellings, & mixture of employment, commercial/leisure, & aviation uses, including a "flying hub" comprising control tower, heritage centre, visitor centre, café/restaurant, parachute centre, aviation archives & aircraft hangars. Provision of new vehicular access to surrounding highways network, car parking, & connections to surrounding footpath/cycle networks. Green infrastructure provision, including open space, play space, foot & cycle paths, & landscape enhancement areas; & sustainable urban drainage system & waste water treatment works. Associated vegetation removal, ground modification & engineering works.	Refused - Appeal Lodged	15/08/2024	Yes	Reference made to Policy 1, Policy 3b and Policy 4, however the NDP policies do not feature in the reasons for refusal.	Objection - reference to NDP Policy 1 made within the objection
20/11598/OUT	The erection of up-to 49 dwellings, accesses from Church Road, Green Infrastructure including landscaping and children's play, a sustainable urban drainage system and utility buildings (amended description).	Refused	14/08/2024	Yes	Reference made to Policy 1 where the site is outside of a green buffer and therefore will not lead to coalescence with Salisbury. Reference also made to application complying with Policy 2 and Policy 4.	Objection - stong objection made with reference to the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment.
PL/2024/05528	Proposed Single Storey Rear & Front Extension	Approved	07/08/2024	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2024/05473	Proposed Single Storey Extensions and Alterations	Approved	06/08/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2024/05014	Proposed Single Storey Extensions and Alterations	Approved	01/08/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/04526	Development of 46 dwellings with internal access, footways, parking and garaging, public open space (POS), landscaping and associated infrastructure (Reserved Matters application pursuant of Outline Application PL/2024/01413 relating to landscape, layout, appearance and scale)	Approved	11/07/2024	No	No	Objection - Design Guide was used as the basis for the objection
PL/2024/04349	Hip to gable and rear dormer extension to provide bedroom and ensuite in existing loft.	Approved	08/07/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/04634	Proposed Replacement Side Extension & Internal Alterations	Approved	09/07/2024	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'

REPORT 25.009 Neighbourhood Plan Review
LAVERSTOCK AND FORD PARISH COUNCIL
Author: Trudi Deane, Administration Officer
Date: 14th January 2025

PL/2024/04677	Installation of a polycarbonate canopy supported on aluminium truss framework with timber clad inserts and planters.	Approved	01/07/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2024/01898	Installation of 2 Air Source heat pumps	Approved	19/06/2024	Yes	Reference made to Policy 1, 2 and 4	No objection subject to condition.
PL/2023/07727	Erection of a new dwelling, landscaping and associated works	Refused	30/05/2024	No	No	Objection raised although no reference to NDP
PL/2024/03225	Proposed Single Storey Side Extension and Alterations	Approved	14/05/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2024/02095	Single Storey Rear Extension	Approved	25/04/2024	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2024/01762	Single storey front extension and associated works.	Approved	04/04/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/01683	Construction of double car port on existing concrete slab	Approved	27/03/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/01468	Part Garage conversion, general glazing changes and associated works.	Approved	27/03/2024	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/00509	Conversion of garage into laundry area and gym and shower room and toilet.	Approved	01/03/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2024/00315	Proposed panel sign and fret cut lettering	Approved	22/02/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/11024	Single storey rear extension and associated works.	Approved	08/02/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2023/10897	Single-storey rear extension, with adjacent hard-paved 'patio' area, to existing domestic dwelling bungalow.	Approved	06/02/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2023/09969	Proposed Garden Annex	Approved	16/01/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/10508	Proposed new frames, glazing and solid roof panels to existing rear conservatory.	Approved	15/01/2024	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/10537	Front and rear single storey extensions. Ground mounted solar panels to rear garden.	Approved	15/01/2024	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2023/09906	Proposed single storey side extension and new front entrance porch.	Approved	09/01/2024	Yes	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/10091	Construction of single storey side extension	Approved	05/01/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2023/09098	Proposed First Floor and Single storey extensions and Alterations	Approved	03/01/2024	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/07359	Retrospective planning permission for two sheltered storage buildings and associated works	Approve	18/12/2023	No	No	Objection raised although no reference to NDP
PL/2023/08424	Revised application of PL/2022/07787 - Single storey extensions to east and west elevations. Insertion of bay window to front and associated works.	Approved	13/11/2023	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2023/07012	Proposed two-storey rear extension	Approved	12/10/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/03987	Conversion of the existing Stables/Office/Garages into a Dwelling	Approved	05/09/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/04590	Proposed new detached Garage	Approved	03/08/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/04612	Replacement of existing double glazed windows and doors with new double glazed windows and doors constructed of timber and standard double glazing (amendment to PL/2022/03313)	Approved	28/07/2023	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	No comments received.
PL/2023/03641	Proposed Roof Conversion, Extension and Alterations	Approved	20/07/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'

REPORT 25.009 Neighbourhood Plan Review
LAVERSTOCK AND FORD PARISH COUNCIL
Author: Trudi Deane, Administration Officer
Date: 14th January 2025

PL/2023/04526	Development of 46 dwellings with internal access, footways, parking and garaging, public open space (POS), landscaping and associated infrastructure (Reserved Matters application pursuant of Outline Application PL/2024/01413 relating to landscape, layout, appearance and scale)	Approved	11/07/2023	No	No	Objection - reference to NDP Design Guide
PL/2023/03566	Retention of storage containers	Approved	05/07/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/03387	Retention of storage containers	Approved	05/07/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/03206	Proposed ground floor extension to replace conservatory and first floor extension to create additional bedroom	Approved	04/07/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/02843	Two storey extension.	Approved	08/06/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/07727	Erection of a new dwelling, landscaping and associated works	Refused	30/05/2023	No	No	Objection
PL/2023/02079	Loft conversion with dormer	Approved	26/05/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2021/09567	Erection of 46 No. Dwellings, Including Access, Parking, Public Open Space (POS) and Landscaping	Approved	10/05/2023	No	No	Support subject to development
PL/2023/01308	Alterations within the curtilage of the Mill House, covering the stopping up of the existing access and driveway which will be relocated to the east.	Approved	10/05/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/01438	Two storey extension	Approved	12/04/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/00623	Single storey extension to rear / side of property	Approved	24/03/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2023/00576	Restoration, conversion and change of use of Former Chauffeur's flat and garages to a single (C3) dwelling house, demolition of adjoining derelict lean-to pig sties and removal of a 5m section of 2.3m high garden wall, retain existing visibility splays to A345 and improved junction radii with A345, off-street car parking and foul drainage package and field.	Approved	22/03/2023	No	No	No comments received.
PL/2022/09544	Proposed Single Storey and First Floor extensions and alterations	Approved	02/03/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/09504	Proposed studio outbuilding	Approved	24/02/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/09704	Dormer window to the first floor	Approved	22/02/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/08258	Proposed driveway	Approved	27/01/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/06377	Location of condenser units on slab to service the nursery associated with planning reference 19/01690/FUL.	Approved	10/01/2023	(No Officers Report Available)	(No Officers Report Available)	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/08941	Flexible permission as either a Class E (g), B2 or B8 use or a Class E use as a specialist cheerleaders/gymnastics/trampoline studio	Approved	05/01/2023	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/07734	Two storey extension and associated works.	Approved	20/12/2022	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/07787	Single storey extensions to south, east and northern elevations. Pitched roof to existing flat roof. Insertion of bay window to front and associated works.	Approved	07/12/2022	No	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/06709	Construction of Garage	Approved	04/11/2022	Yes	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/05733	Proposed First floor extension above Garage/Utility and Dining area to create additional Bedroom accommodation and associated works.	Approved	21/10/2022	Yes	No	They raised 'No Comment'
PL/2022/05389	Erection of a timber fence to side of property	Approved	20/10/2022	No	No	No objection

*Excludes VoC, DoC, CoL, NMA, Tree Works, Retropective, PD applications